

**Recommendations made/votes:** 2; Voting Neighborhood Association

Representatives present included: Sunnyside, Brooklyn, HAND, South Tabor, North Tabor, Foster-Powell, SMILE, Creston-Kenilworth, and Richmond.

**Vote #1:** PTU's Resolution in Support of Tenants Rights.

**Vote Outcome:** 1 no; 3 yes; 5 abstained

**Vote Notes:** The request came from the SE Uplift Board. Because this is a broader advocacy request and just land use, and there was not sufficient clarity on what aspect(s) the Board was looking for the LUTC to weigh in on, most LUTC representatives were uncertain how their NA's would feel about the topic and most abstained from voting primarily for this reason. Additionally, there were some specific concerns cited including discomfort regarding the specific language and uncertainty to what the group was committing to as an organization long term, specific language regarding a "rent freeze", and support of a local rent stabilization policy. The topic went back to the SE Uplift Executive Committee and steps are being taken to provide more information at a local neighborhood level before returning to a future SE Uplift Board meeting.

**Vote #2:** 60's Bikeway Advocacy Request.

**Vote Outcome:** 9 yes 0 no, 0 abstained

**Vote Notes:** This LUTC has reviewed and discussed the list of identified but not currently funded projects in the proposed Transportation System Plan. The 60's Bikeway rose to the top regarding projects of highest priority for the coalition area. Since then, both Foster-Powell and South Tabor Neighborhood Associations have submitted advocacy requests to the SE Uplift Board. The LUTC discussed the requested and voted to support the advocacy request. The requests will go to the full Board in April.

**Meeting Overview:** Andrew Riley from Portland Tenants United (PTU) shared information about the Resolution in Support of Tenants Rights. The group discussed some key points identified in the resolution related to rent control/rent stabilization policies, what it means to sign onto the resolution, and what was being considered at the State Legislature through SB 2004 and HB 2001 which both propose lifting prohibition on local jurisdictions. While the group is familiar with the topic, the opportunity to start discussing some of the state and local policy implications, and what it could mean locally was a relatively new topic and presented a great opportunity to begin a more robust conversation about tenants' rights at the neighborhood level. Many neighborhood associations have started, or will start, conversations at their neighborhood level to share information and get better clarity on where they stand on the topic of tenants' rights.

Rachael Hoy from the City of Portland, BPS shared information about the Central City 2035 plan (CC2035). The group focused discussion on recreational space for future residents in the area, scenic vistas, FAR and building heights, and what it means to allow for small retail shops on the Waterfront Park. Questions came up about impacts to adjacent neighborhoods particularly related to: demand for recreational resources considering the CC2035 plan does not include additional park space, traffic and parking overflow, as well as transit, pedestrian, and bicycle connections in and out of the area. Rachael encouraged neighborhoods to advocate for what they like and share concerns with City Council regarding the proposed plan. Neighborhoods within the CC2035 area are directly impacted, but adjacent neighborhoods will also feel the changes, and all of inner Southeast neighborhoods will be impacted by changes to their central city so this is an important plan to stay aware of and understand the impacts.

Lastly the group discussed some LUTC business related to some new tools and practices that have been put into place since last June, primarily the use of Google groups. Leah has shared some resources on how to use google groups, and has worked out some kinks (namely allowing folks to respond by email; and encouraging those without a gmail to consider creating a generic Land Use account as recommended by ONI) so hopefully this tool will be more useful to facilitate conversations and information sharing between meetings moving forward. Additionally, the issue of voting and what a meaningful vote for this group is. Some suggestions came up to allow for straw polls and executive authority and have been further discussed via google groups along with other solutions to encourage more robust participation from neighborhood association representatives.